


Hello There, Guest! 📍 Login Register 🔿 Search | Image Feed | Members | Rules |

Quote:

Lookism.net - Aesthetics, Red Pill, and Masculinity Discussion > LMS and Red Pill discussions > Looksmaxing
Image: Im

Advertise here

Pers	pective	& Foca	l Length



If people want morphs done or their FWHR measured, make sure to take the pics from a distance around 1-2 meters. Otherwise, due to the type of lens most phone cameras use you will get incorrect FWHR measurements if taken up close, this also makes it more awkward to morph. Creating the thread so we don't have to keep

Thread Modes

#1

Neck Brah Senior Member


Posts: 430 Threads: 9 Joined: Jun 2015 Reputation: **405**



11-22-2015, 03:31 AM (This post was last modified: 11-22-2015, 03:33 AM by Neck Brah.)

making countless posts on the subject and so people can be easily linked here.


The iPhone's lens has a (35mm equivalent) focal length of 29mm.



Quote:


You can really see the 5-eye-line in the beauty shot above that was taken from a distance with a long lens. The sides of the model's head are evident.

In the wide-angle closeup shot, the '5-eye line' is almost a '3-eye line,' and the side planes of the model's head are no longer visible.



Quote:

A simple dolly inward. The box has non-parallel wedge-like sides, as does a skull.




Here is a great post by **The German Dream** which he made back in 2014.


GermanDream Wrote:

TLDR: we could all be alot uglier or alot more handsome than we think we are


how do you figure out how you really look like without distance distortion?

this is a picture of the same face at the exact same moment recorded with two cameras. the close one was 45cm away and the far one 135cm using this technique:






As we can see the picture further away is alot more aesthetic and it was also rated more attractive in the study. I remind you the pictures were taken at the exact same moment with the same lighting, expression etc.



we also all know pictures like that:



🔎 Find



cumdumpster

Posts: 7 Threads: 0 Joined: Nov 2015 Reputation: **0**

🔎 Find



GeneticDeadEnd His Majesty The King of Rotterdom VIII

Posts: 4,315 Threads: 58 Joined: Nov 2015 Reputation: **950**


🔎 Find



The German Dream


Posts: 1,520 Threads: 18 Joined: Jul 2015 Reputation: **140** 11-22-2015, 03:57 AM (This post was last modified: 11-22-2015, 03:57 AM by cumdumpster.)

👔 i've been tricked all my life



D Find

#2



Posts: 5,345 Threads: 365 🔎 Find



#9

🥽 Reply

#10



OldRooster ● Ultra Super Poster

Posts: 4,369 Threads: 103 Joined: Aug 2015 Reputation: **190** 12-27-2015, 04:19 PM (This post was last modified: 12-27-2015, 04:24 PM by OldRooster.)

nice summary.

This problem continues to exist because women take more than 80% (made that up, can't be bothered to look up the obvious) of selfies and the narrowing effect of the shorter focal length has a feminizing effect. The lens distortion actually makes fat women look thinner and more feminine. If lens distortion made women fatter or more masculine looking this would be common knowledge and phone camera makers would have better technologies in their phone cameras by now.

Someone should make one of those multifocal length arrays with a woman with a fat face. I'd bet the fattie looks better at 25mm then at 100mm

wow, I just noticed this for the first time despite seeing these types of arrays many times before, the shorter focal lengths cause the hairlines to recess.

Face>(Body/height)>Status>(Location/propinquity)>(Personality/voice)>Money>everything else aka FBSLPM eye area>jaw line> (Teeth/bridge/philtrum)>skin>hair>skull size>everything else face



The mass of men lead lives of quiet desperation Repeal the 26th Amendment

🔎 Find



12-28-2015, 06:25 AM

its really astonishing how much better i look when i take pictures from ~2m distance compared to maximum hand reach which is like ~0.8m with a standard phone camera lens (which is 35mm i think). but this then might be distorted in such a way that it favours my looks. im still wondering what distance might represent the most realistic view: i hope its like 2m...but it might actually be closer to like 1m or something

The German Dream • Legend	Decay Is there dignity in living life as an entity? Born into ruin, we feel withdrawal Subhumanity is poison And we are lost without a cure We are not fit to walk amongst them Eventually this is something we must accept The world moves on without us And only the grave welcomes us with open arms		
Posts: 1,520 Threads: 18 Joined: Jul 2015 Reputation: 140	[ir	So pray for your rebirth Pray for another chance to bloom While the rats will feed off of our failure We wither away rotted from the core Welcome to your new home ng=0x188]http://31.media.tumblr.com/tumblr_m1bdtzt96X1qmyzc7.gif[/img]	Reply
	12-28-2015, 07:51 AM		#11



Snake
Member

Threads: 3

Women can still pull off a portrait at short focal length, but for most men it's a death sentence. Makes face narrower, completely kills jawline and chin, just messes up all proportions. Stay the fuck away from selfies.







200mm



🔎 Find



FireHaze 🛛 Vaginas are icky ***** ?

Posts: 8,807 Threads: 1,216 Joined: Jun 2015 Reputation: **10,888** Tinder Matches: Zero Dates: Zero Kisses: Zero Slay Count: Virgin Relationships: Zero

(12-27-2015, 04:19 PM)

逼 Reply

#12

OldRooster Wrote: 🔿

nice summary.

01-03-2016, 05:27 AM

This problem continues to exist because women take more than 80% (made that up, can't be bothered to look up the obvious) of selfies and the narrowing effect of the shorter focal length has a feminizing effect. The lens distortion actually makes fat women look thinner and more feminine. If lens distortion made women fatter or more masculine looking this would be common knowledge and phone camera makers would have better technologies in their phone cameras by now.

Someone should make one of those multifocal length arrays with a woman with a fat face. I'd bet the fattie looks better at 25mm then at 100mm

wow, I just noticed this for the first time despite seeing these types of arrays many times before, the shorter focal lengths cause the hairlines to recess.

Snake Wrote:

Women can still pull off a portrait at short focal length, but for most men it's a death sentence. Makes face narrower, completely kills jawline and chin, just messes up all proportions. Stay the fuck away from selfies.



50mm

200mm



These two posts confirm my hunch that women are far more photogenic than men lol





🔎 Find

OldRooster ◎ Ultra Super Poster 01-04-2016, 03:44 AM (This post was last modified: 01-04-2016, 03:46 AM by OldRooster.)

I have found a website that provides seemingly legit, comprehensive and intelligent ratings of cameras. Based upon its ratings of compact cameras for portraits I am going to order the Fujifilm S1, it is not the top rated portrait camera, but it is the highest rated portrait camera under \$500 and it has a fully articulated viewing screen and everything a sane person could want in a camera.

逼 Reply

#13

http://cameradecision.com/features/Best-...hotography. There are places on the web that sell it for under \$300.

I also did some research on selfie sticks, and came to the conclusion they are worthless (unless you are taking pictures of your ass) as they will shake too much and give a blurry picture

Face>(**B**ody/height)>**S**tatus>(**L**ocation/propinquity)>(**P**ersonality/voice)>**M**oney>everything else aka FBSLPM eye area>jaw line> (Teeth/bridge/philtrum)>skin>hair>skull size>everything else face

Posts: 4,369 Threads: 103 Joined: Aug 2015 Reputation: **190**



The mass of men lead lives of quiet desperation Repeal the 26th Amendment





dead_inside ● Member ★


Posts: 184 Threads: 21 Joined: Dec 2015 Reputation: **0**

🔎 Find






Posts: 79 Threads: 3 Joined: Dec 2015 Reputation: **0**



No.


When it comes to proper cameras, it's all about the size of the sensor. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image_sensor_format



That Fuji S1 has a tiny 1/2.3" sensor. Basically, it'll produce pictures not much better than phones.


If you want something light, compact and cheap, I suggest you get Sony A6000 (APS-C sensor, 12 times larger surface area than 1/2.3") and SEL50F18 lens (best for portraits).

PS - aren't you super rich? If I were you, I'd actually get something along the lines of Sony A7RII + Zeiss Batis 85mm. This is the sort of quality you can expect with this combo:



#16

🔎 Find



Neck Brah Senior Member

Posts: 430 Threads: 9 Joined: Jun 2015 Reputation: **405** 01-06-2016, 06:50 AM


Selecting a Portrait Lens With Correct Focal Length

http://petapixel.com/2016/01/04/selectin...al-length/



Quote:

Hence whatever facial feature happens to be closest to the lens will take up more real estate on the film plane then those featured that biologically occur farther away. The one unfortunate body part that most often bares the brunt of this inevitability is the nose. In the drawing below you can see how the distance from lens to the nose vs. eyebrow changes with various focal lengths – the shorter the lens the greater the difference in those distances and the bigger the nose will appear in the photo.




🥽 Reply


Neck Training Thread: http://lookism.net/showthread.php?tid=117

Perspective & Focal Length: http://lookism.net/Thread-Perspective-Focal-Length

🔎 Find



Trunks
Senior Member



Posts: 687 Threads: 17 🔎 Find



