1 (Case called)

2.0

2.3

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel for the government, please state your appearances.

MR. LAROCHE: Good afternoon, your Honor. Matt Laroche for the government. With me is John Moscato from pretrial services.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Moscato. Thank you for coming.

MR. KAPLAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Jacob Kaplan with Brafman & Associates for Mr. Schulte.

THE COURT: Mr. Kaplan. Mr. Schulte, how are you?
Mr. Laroche.

MR. LAROCHE: Yes, your Honor. We were last here on November 8. Since that time, two things have happened. One is that the defendant has changed counsel to Mr. Kaplan.

The Second, as the Court is aware from our letter last week, the defendant was arrested on the bases of charges out of Virginia. Based on those charges and other information that we've set forth in our letter, we're now seeking the defendant's detention.

It is my understanding -- and I'll defer to defense counsel -- that at least with respect to our application, they are consenting for now without prejudice, and I'll let him explain that. The government is also ready to discuss a schedule moving forward.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Kaplan.

2.3

MR. KAPLAN: Thank you, your Honor.

My colleague is correct that we are going to consent to detention without prejudice. We would like, based on our conversations with the government, to allow Mr. Schulte to go down to Virginia do at least address the initial arraignment on the charges down there with an understanding he'll come back here to appear before your Honor.

THE COURT: What's the schedule for that, Mr. Kaplan?

MR. KAPLAN: Nothing is happening until he gets down

there. Based on my conversations with the state prosecutor,

because he's currently in state custody, the deputies from

Virginia are supposed to pick him up on December 20 from the

state facility.

Presumably they'll pick him up from the federal facility instead, and they'll take him down to Virginia. He has counsel already down in Virginia. Hopefully he'll be arraigned soon after returning to Virginia. Then depending on what happens in the court there, I would hope that he could be brought back here.

THE COURT: When he leaves this courtroom, in whose custody will he be?

MR. KAPLAN: My understanding is that he'll be in federal custody.

THE COURT: Is that right, Mr. Laroche?

MR. LAROCHE: Yes, your Honor. I think that how it would work procedurally is that after today he would be in federal custody, and then the state authorities would take him out, bring him to Virginia, get him arraigned, and then he would be back up here still in federal custody for the next matter.

THE COURT: I have a remand order which I've drafted which provides that, for the reasons stated on the record, bail is revoked, and the defendant is remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshals for the Southern District.

Is that what you want?

2.3

MR. LAROCHE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Kaplan, is that all right?

MR. KAPLAN: It is, your Honor. While we have answers to a lot of the allegations in the government's letter, we think it's best to wait until the Virginia matter is resolved before addressing it.

THE COURT: I'm signing the order, and Mr. Schulte is remanded.

The marshals are here? Thank you.

Okay. Mr. Laroche, what else?

MR. LAROCHE: Yes, your Honor. At the last conference, I think the parties notified the Court that there was one remaining issue with respect to discovery, and that was specifically that the government needed a laptop computer and

HBFY SCH 1:17-cr-00548-PAC Document 24 Filed 01/09/18 Page 5 of 7

an additional hard drive so we could load the remaining discovery.

After Mr. Kaplan was appointed counsel or became counsel for the defendant, he provided us those materials.

We're in the process of loading them, which is taking a bit of time because of the amount of data. With that said, we will have that complete by next week. At that point, discovery in this case will be complete.

THE COURT: How much time do you want, Mr. Kaplan.

MR. KAPLAN: Well, your Honor, we've obtained the discovery given to prior counsel, and I've started to go through that. In addition, there was one other issue which I believe was raised at our prior conference, which was a security clearance for counsel to go through some of the national security evidence that might be present in the case.

While most of the national security stuff does not involve the charges, the actual charges against Mr. Schulte, the basis for the search warrants in this case involve national security.

So I'm starting the process with their office to hopefully get clearance to go through some of the information on that with an eye towards possibly a Franks motion going forward. So I would ask for more time just to get that rolling.

What I would hope to do, with the Court's approval, is

MR. KAPLAN: No objection.

24

25

THE COURT: For the reasons stated, the time between